

PREDICTION OF GROWTH AND FEED EFFICIENCY PERFORMANCES IN GROWING RABBITS FROM THEIR GUT MICROBIOTA

Velasco-Galilea M.^{1*}, Piles M.¹, Viñas M.², Rafel O.¹, Ramayo-Caldas Y.¹, González-Rodríguez O.¹, Guivernau M.², Sánchez J.P.¹

¹Dept. of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Institute of Agrifood Research and Technology, IRTA Torre Marimón, E08140, Caldes de Montbui (Barcelona), Spain

² Dept. of Integral Management of Organic Waste, Institute of Agrifood Research and Technology, IRTA Torre Marimón, E08140, Caldes de Montbui (Barcelona), Spain

*Corresponding author: maria.velasco@irta.cat

ABSTRACT

Selecting for feed efficiency (FE) is challenging in rabbit breeding programs since individual feed intake (FI) of animals is not usually available. The current study raises the possibility that rabbits' cecal microbiota could be considered to predict FE and its component traits, i.e., growth and FI. Our dataset comprised the individual average daily gain (ADG) and cage FI records of 425 kits raised in two farms and fed with the same diet supplemented or not with antibiotics but under different feeding regimes. A 16S rRNA gene amplicons MiSeq sequencing assessment was conducted on cecal samples collected from those kits at 66 days. Paired-end sequences were processed with QIIME2 software resulting in a final table of 2,638 sequence variants for 424 samples. We run cross-validations fitting a sparse partial least squares regression (sPLSR) model with a microbial effect to assess its predictive ability on phenotypic ADG of animals fed V (ADG_V) and on animals fed R (ADG_R), and on their residuals after correction by management factors. For traits ADG, FI and FE, we run cross-validations to compare two models differing by including or not the cecal microbial information. Our sPLSR model showed some predictive capacity for phenotypic ADG_V (0.40) and ADG_R (0.09), but this capacity becomes null for the prediction of the residuals of these traits. Although cecal microbiota explained more than 50% of the variation of ADG, fitting the microbial effect in the model did not improve the predictive accuracy of the recorded values. Cecal microbiota explained 51 and 59% of the variation of FI and FCR, respectively. Unlike growth, models that considered the microbial information improved the predictive accuracy for FI and FCR recorded performance values in 3 and 10%, respectively.

Key words: feed efficiency, growth, gut microbiota, predictive models, rabbit.

INTRODUCTION

Given that food expenses often suppose up to 70% of the production costs, feed efficiency (FE) is an essential trait in rabbit breeding. However, most programs do not perform a direct selection for this trait since it is difficult to measure the individual animals' feed intake (FI). A common alternative to improve FE has been to conduct an indirect selection for average daily gain (ADG) or body weight (BW) at the end of the growing period (Estany *et al.*, 1992). However, the genetic correlation between growth traits and FE may not be high enough to result in an optimal selection response (Piles *et al.*, 2004).

Microbial populations that inhabit the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) of animals constitute a complex ecosystem whose members constantly interact between them and with their host. Those interactions ensure homeostatic balance maintenance since GIT components are involved in many physiological and immunological processes. The cecum is the main organ harboring the microbial fermentation processes in the domestic meat rabbit, *Oryctolagus cuniculus*, and hosts the richest and the most diverse microbial community of the GIT. That explains why cecum has been the organ of choice for the rabbit gut microbiota assessment (Velasco-Galilea *et al.*, 2018). The rapid development of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies and their swiftly decreasing costs allows deeper comprehension of microbial composition and diversity differences found between animals shaped by the production environment.

In the field of livestock production, certain studies have gone one step further and hypothesized that the rabbit gut microbiota could be associated with BW (Zeng *et al.*, 2015) or FE (Drouilhet *et al.*, 2016). However, there is not any study in rabbits reporting the potential association of cecal microbiota with growth and FE performances.

The aim of this study was to assess the value added by the cecal microbiota for the prediction of individual ADG and collective FE performances of growing rabbits raised under two different feeding regimes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and experimental design

The biological samples used in this study were collected from animals of a sire line (Caldes) raised in different periods and in two different farms (from July 2012 to July 2014 in farm A, and from April to June 2016 in farm B). For the present study, 425 kits were randomly selected from five batches. All animals were raised under the same management conditions and fed with a standard pellet diet supplemented with antibiotics (except 23 raised in farm B that received a free-antibiotic diet). Immediately after weaning (32 days of age), these kits were randomly assigned to a feeding regime (FR): *ad libitum* (V) or restricted (R) to 75% of the V intake. The rabbits were housed in collective cages during the growing period. Their body weights (BW) and the cage total FI were weekly recorded. ADG was computed as the slope of the regression line after fitting a linear model with all BW measurements recorded during the growing period. Cage-recorded traits of animals fed V were computed as the total FI of the cage divided by the number of days and rabbits raised in the cage (FI) and as the ratio of the average cage FI to ADG (FCR).

DNA extraction, libraries generation and sequencing

Cecal samples were immediately collected after animals slaughtering, kept cold (4°C), and stored at -80°C. Genomic DNA was extracted from 250 mg of each cecal sample with ZR Soil Microbe DNA MiniPrep™ kit (ZymoResearch, Freiburg, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. Integrity and purity of DNA extracts were measured using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer equipment (NanoDrop products; Wilmington, DE, United States). A fragment containing V4-V5 hypervariable regions of the 16 rRNA gene was amplified with the pair of primers F515Y/R926 (Parada *et al.*, 2016) and re-amplified in a limited-cycle PCR to add sequencing adaptors and 8 nt dual-indexed barcodes of multiplex Nextera® XT kit (Illumina, Inc., San Diego CA, United States) following the manufacturer's instructions. Final libraries were paired-end sequenced in parallel in a MiSeq Illumina 2x250 platform at the Autonomous University of Barcelona.

Bioinformatics

Sequence processing was performed using QIIME2 software (version 2018.6; Bolyen *et al.*, 2018). The raw sequence data with quality information were imported from Casava 1.8 paired-end demultiplexed FASTQ

formatted files into a QIIME2 artifact. The sequence quality control to detect and correct Illumina amplicon sequencing errors, as well as the removal of the chimeras, were performed in a single step with the DADA2 pipeline (Callahan *et al.*, 2016), implemented through the q2-dada2 plugin. The output table containing for each sample the counts of unique sequences, i.e., 100% amplicon sequence variants (ASVs), was clustered into ASVs with 99% similarity. The ASV table was filtered at: (1) sample level by discarding samples with less than 5,000 final sequence counts and at (2) ASV level by removing the doubleton ones.

Statistical analysis

To unravel whether microbial information can be used to predict growth performance when it is corrected by management factors whose capacity to shape the rabbits' cecal microbiota is known, a sparse partial least squares regression (sPLSR) model with a microbial effect (based in a microbial relationship matrix that was represented by the weighted Unifrac phylogenetic distance matrix) was fitted for phenotypic ADG of animals fed V and R (ADG_V and ADG_R, respectively) and for their residuals after correction by those factors. Cross-validations were replicated 5 times. In each of them, the dataset was randomly split into 4 folds, 3 of which were used to train the models and the remaining to test them. The correlation coefficient between the observed and the predicted data was used to assess the predictive ability of models. Univariate microbial mixed models were fitted for ADG_V, ADG_R and cage-recorded traits FI and FCR of animals fed V. Random effects included in the model were the additive genetic, the litter, the cage, the microbial, and the error. The model included the batch, the presence or the absence of antibiotics in the food, the BW at weaning, and the regression on the age of the rabbit as systematic effects. To deal with the fact that microbial information was not available for some of the rabbits within a cage, an expansion of the microbial relationship matrix was performed by adding an identity matrix for those animals. The residual maximal likelihood (REML) variance components estimation was carried out using the REMLF90 program (Misztal, 2002). For each trait, two cross-validations were compared to assess whether including microbial information in the model improves its predictive ability: one fitting the mixed model above and another fitting the same model but without the microbial effect. Cross-validations were replicated 20 times. In each of them, the dataset was randomly split into 5 folds, 4 of which were used to train the models and the remaining to test them. The correlation coefficient was used as the performance criterion.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The filtered 99% ASV table contained the sequence counts of 2,638 ASVs for 424 samples. The sPLSR model fitting the microbial effect exhibited moderate and low predictive abilities for phenotypic ADG_V and ADG_R, respectively. However, this model could not predict same traits corrected by environmental factors related with management. (Table 1).

Table 1: Means across 5 cross-validation replicates for regression parameters and correlation coefficients between the observed and the predicted values for phenotypic and residuals ADG_V and ADG_R.

	ADG _V PHE	ADG _V RES	ADG _R PHE	ADG _R RES
Intercept	18.53 (11.73)	0.03 (0.60)	32.43 (9.62)	-0.01 (0.81)
Slope	0.63 (0.23)	0.01 (0.15)	0.17 (0.24)	0.06 (0.21)
Corr. coef.	0.40 (0.11)	0.00 (0.09)	0.09 (0.12)	0.03 (0.10)

While Buitenhuis *et al.* (2019) found that the proportion of variance explained by the rumen microbiota (m^2) was generally smaller than that of the genetic component (h^2) for milk fatty acid composition of Holstein cattle, our results suggest that cecal microbiota explains a large percentage of the total phenotypic variance of ADG_V (0.52) and ADG_R (0.59). However, the models fitting the microbial effect did not exhibit a better predictive ability of growth-related traits than the models that did not consider such information (Table 2). In the case of average cage traits, our m^2 estimates (0.51 and 0.59 for FI and FCR, respectively) were also higher than the h^2 estimates (0.22 and 0.28 for FI and FCR, respectively). For these traits, the models that

fitted the microbial effect showed a higher predictive ability of the performance values than the models that ignored that effect (Table 2). Delgado *et al.* (2019) also found a certain capacity of rumen microbiota to predict FI and FE in cattle. Remarkably, microbial information improves by 3 and 10 percent the predictive ability of the mixed model for FI and FCR, respectively.

Table 2: Means across 20 cross-validation replicates for ratios of phenotypic variance and correlation coefficients between the observed and the predicted values for ADG_V, ADG_R, FI and FCR.

	ADG _V Model 1	ADG _V Model 2	ADG _R Model 1	ADG _R Model 2	FI Model 1	FI Model 2	FCR Model 1	FCR Model 2
h ²	0.11 (0.04)	0.10 (0.04)	0.04 (0.03)	0.05 (0.02)	0.19 (0.08)	0.22 (0.08)	0.31 (0.15)	0.28 (0.10)
m ²	-	0.52 (0.07)	-	0.59 (0.05)	-	0.51 (0.11)	-	0.59 (0.09)
Corr. coef.	0.20 (0.07)	0.21 (0.07)	0.16 (0.11)	0.16 (0.10)	0.79 (0.10)	0.81 (0.10)	0.61 (0.15)	0.67 (0.14)

Model 2 includes the microbial effect. Model 1 is identical to model 2 but without the microbial effect.

h²: heritability. Fraction of the phenotypic variance of the traits explained by the additive genetic effect.

m²: microbiability. Fraction of the phenotypic variance of the traits explained by the microbial effect.

CONCLUSIONS

The main finding of this study is that, although cecal microbiota appears to explain a significant percentage of the phenotypic variance of ADG_V and ADG_R, the consideration of microbial information does not improve the predictive ability of the models when management factors are accounted. Therefore, cecal microbiota and growth are both affected by animal management at growing. However, microbiota does not directly explain the variations in rabbit growth. In contrast to the individually recorded growth, adding this information in the models that aim to predict collectively measured traits related to FE is important since it significantly increases their predictive ability.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study has been funded by the RTA2011-00064-00-00 (INIA) and the Feed-a-Gene (European Union's H2020 program under grant agreement no. 633531) projects. M Velasco-Galilea is a recipient of a pre-doctoral fellowship from INIA, associated with the research project RTA2014-00015-C2-01.

REFERENCES

- Bolyen E., Rideout J.R., Dillon M.R. *et al.* 2018. QIIME 2: Reproducible, interactive, scalable, and extensible microbiome data science. *PeerJ Preprints*, e27295v1.
- Buitenhuis B., Lassen J., Noel S.J., Plichta D.R., Sørensen P., Difford G.F., Poulsen N.A. 2019. Impact of the rumen microbiome on milk fatty acid composition of Holstein cattle. *Gen. Sel. Evol.*, 51(1), 23.
- Callahan B.J., McMurdie P.J., Rosen M.J., Han A.W., Johnson A.J.A., Holmes S.P. 2016. DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Meth.*, 13(7):581.
- Delgado B., Bach A., Guasch I., González C., Elcoso G., Pryce J.E., Gonzalez-Recio O. 2019. Whole rumen metagenome sequencing allows classifying and predicting feed efficiency and intake levels in cattle. *Sci. Rep.*, 9(1), 11.
- Drouilhet L., Achard C.S., Zemb O. *et al.* 2016. Direct and correlated responses to selection in two lines of rabbits selected for feed efficiency under ad libitum and restricted feeding: I. Production traits and gut microbiota characteristics. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 94(1), 38-48.
- Estany J., Camacho J., Baselg, M., Blasco A. 1992. Selection response of growth rate in rabbits for meat production. *Gen. Sel. Evol.*, 24, 527-537.
- Misztal I. 2002. REMLF90 manual. Available at: <http://nce.ads.uga.edu/~ignacy/numpub/blupf90/docs/remlf90.pdf>.
- Parada A.E., Needham D.M., Fuhrman J.A. 2016. Every base matters: assessing small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples. *Env. Microbiol.*, 18(5):1403-1414.
- Piles M., Gomez E.A., Rafel O., Ramon J. Blasco A. 2004. Elliptical selection experiment for the estimation of genetic parameters of the growth rate and feed conversion ratio in rabbits. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 82(3), 654-660.
- Velasco-Galilea M., Piles M., Viñas M., Rafel O., González-Rodríguez O., Guivernau M., Sánchez J.P. 2018. Rabbit microbiota changes throughout the intestinal tract. *Front. Microbiol.*, 9, 2144.
- Zeng B., Han S., Wang P. *et al.* 2015. The bacterial communities associated with fecal types and body weight of rex rabbits. *Sci. Rep.*, 5, 9342.