

Adapting the feed, the animal and the feeding techniques to improve the efficiency and sustainability of monogastric livestock production systems

What about the farmers? A qualitative investigation of farmers' attitudes towards the new technologies





Guy Garrod¹, Marian Raley¹, Cristina Escobar² and Gilles Tran³

1. Centre for Rural Economy, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, United Kingdom. 2. Creda-UPC-IRTA The Center for Agro-Food Development and Economy, Barcelona, Spain. 3. Association Française de Zootechnie, 75231 Paris Cedex 5 France

INTRODUCTION

Farmer acceptance of Feed-a-Gene's novel technologies is crucial for their further development and commercialization. In spring 2019, a **small qualitative study** of pig farmers (UK) and pig or poultry farmer representatives (Spain) was conducted to **elicit opinions about three technologies** which aim to improve feed efficiency: **precision feeding, novel feeds, and breeding solutions.**

The study identifies factors for inclusion in a larger-scale evaluation.

FINDINGS

• General

Need for authoritative, unbiassed trials to demonstrate benefits, economic and management implications, capital costs and return on investment before farms willing to adopt.

- Trials needed to demonstrate impacts for different farm sizes

Farmers working to very tight margins so small changes in prices or feed conversion rates can make the difference between profit and loss.
Production of feed within EU is a secondary consideration. Novel

METHOD

• Seeking to explore and gain understanding of attitudes and preferences i.e. hypothesis-generating rather than hypothesis testing.

» Semi-structured interviews, audio-recorded and transcribed.

• 'Presentation packs' developed to explain underlying concepts and anticipated mode of operation in lay terms. Multi-disciplinary co-operation critical. Interviewees asked to read them and discuss their reactions.

 Require interviewees with specific knowledge and experience of pig or poultry farming » a purposive sample

Interview schedule

Context

- Production systems
- Number of animals
- Vertical integration & autonomy over

feeds must produce returns that are equal or better than current.

- Interviewees open to adapting systems within their operational constraints.

External factors are also critical for acceptance.

- If strong vertical integration and low farmer autonomy (e.g. Spanish poultry industry) then production technology determined by group specifications, not individual farmers

- Perception that nutritionists working for large feed manufacturers will be mediators of change.

- Market demand, especially **consumer concerns** in the event of any negative impacts on animal welfare or the environment.

Precision feeding

- Response from **pig sector was enthusiastic**. Envisaged improved feed conversion efficiency, reduced under-feeding and bullying

- Capital costs, payback period and risk are critical.
- Perception that adoption will **require change**, **not adaptation**, of existing buildings, infrastructure and systems.

- Expected upskilling of labour force and higher wages (= good rural jobs)

The Feed-a-Gene technologies> Reactions / interest > Pros and cons > Barriers to use > Drivers of uptake
> Drivers of uptake

The sample

- SpainRepresentatives of organisations in pig or
poultry sector with close contact with
producers.
Located in Catalonia (2) Barcelona (3) and
Lleida provinces (1)
- UK6 pig farms (owners/managers) mostlylocated in major pig-producing areas(E.Yorkshire / East Anglia)

5 farms are 'all indoors', take pigs from birth to slaughter weight. Size: 50 to 8000 breeding sows 1 has multiple sites, breeding and meat production. 40% outdoors

- Possible **reduction in human contact and delays** in noticing feeding, behavioural, animal health problems

- Need reliability and excellent after-sales service.
- Good broadband connection needed for data downloads

Novel Feeds

Farmers need to know palatability, protein content, performance and cost **relative to alternatives**.

Require an **adequate and consistent supply**. Is growing these crops attractive to arable farmers too?

Form and stability of feed, and compatibility with current on-farm handling infrastructure e.g storage, mixing

Any impact on pollution if protein source changed?

Green protein: The least familiar, but of greatest interest.

Can grass from **poorer land** be used? Strange use of good agricultural land unless grown as a break crop in arable rotation.

Closed system (biofuel, cattle feed) is interesting but a radical departure.

OSR: UK – Produced in UK, but concern about **stability** of supply (total ban on neonics; biofuel policy). Imported into Spain and **expensive**.

Soya (GMO-free): Improbable? Awareness in UK and Spain of unsuccessful plant trials

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the farmers (UK) and farmer representatives (Spain) who generously agreed to be interviewed, and for the support of Feed-a-Gene colleagues in developing lay descriptions of their work.

Breeding Solutions

Awareness of issues raised in Feed-a-Gene (Improved gut microbiota as key to future productivity gains. Also disease resistance)Biomarkers (e.g. nitrogen; disease detection) would be advantageous.

On-farm testing – very useful but adoption depends on ease, expense, interpretation of results

Contact

Enquiries Guy.garrod@ncl.ac.uk Marian.raley@ncl.ac.uk Cristina.escobar@upc.edu









The Feed-a-Gene Project has received funding from the European Union's H2020 Programme under grant agreement no 633531.