

GENETIC PARAMETERS OF FEED INTAKE PATTERNS OF DUROC SOWS DURING GESTATION AND LACTATION

Helal M.², Ragab M.^{1,3} and Sánchez J. P.¹

¹Genética i Millora Animal, IRTA, Torre Marimon, Caldes de Montbui, 08140 Barcelona, Spain. ²Animal Production Department, Fac. Agriculture, Cairo Univ., Giza, 12613, Egypt.

³Poultry Production Department, Kafer El-Sheikh Univ., Kafer El-Sheikh, 33516, Egypt.

*Mostafa.helal@agr.cu.edu.eg

INTRODUCTION

Feed intake is a key factor in the economic and sustainable pig industry. However, feed intake of sows during gestation and lactation periods did not received much research attention perhaps because it represents only 15-17% of the total feeding costs which estimated by 68% of total variable production costs (Solà-Oriol & Gasa, 2016). During gestation and lactation periods, adequate FI levels prevent excessive mobilization of nutrients from body stores (Yoder *et al.*, 2014) which increases sow longevity. FI during gestation is changing according to the stage of gestation which supports the theory of multi-phase feeding strategy during that period (Jackson, 2009, McPherson *et al.*, 2004). Studies have demonstrated considerable genetic variation for FI traits during lactation (Bergsma *et al.*, 2008, Hermesch, 2007). However, little is known about the genetic parameters of FI of pregnant sows. Therefore, there is a lack of knowledge about the genetic relationships between FI during gestation and lactation periods. Accordingly, the aim of this study is to estimate the genetic parameters of feed intake patterns during gestation and lactation periods and their relationship with prolificacy traits.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals and dataset

Animals used in this study come from a Duroc line (Tibau *et al.*, 1999), which was subjected to selection since 1991 using an index including weight at off test, approximately 180 days (W180), backfat thickness at off test (BF180), intramuscular fat (IMF), number born alive (NBA) and number of functional teats (NT). In this study, a total number of 663 sows belonged to different parity orders were used. Individual feed intake (FI), body weight (BW) and backfat (BF) were recorded during gestation and lactation periods, and the obtained number of born alive (NBA) was also recorded for each sow. Changes in BF (ΔBF) was calculated as the difference (mm) between BF just before parturition and BF just after AI. FI data were edited by keeping records during gestation and lactation periods, daily FI records lower than 1.6 kg (about 1% of the data) and outliers were treated as missing values. Also, data recorded after 105 days of gestation were eliminated to avoid the high FI variability resulted by pre-parturition time. Daily FI records until 28 days of lactation were only considered. Daily FI was predicted for days without record during lactation (FI_{lac}) and early gestation (FI_{1-40}) using 3rd degree Legendre Polynomial function. Late gestation daily FI missing records (FI_{41-105}) were predicted using 6th degree Legendre Polynomial function. Thereafter this period was divided to calculate two separated daily FI traits: FI_{41-80} and FI_{81-105} . In addition, a single gestation daily FI trait (FI_{1-105}) was defined combining FI throughout all the gestation.

Statistical Analysis Models

Tri-variate animal repeatability models were used to analyse the studied traits, in these models FI_{lac} and NBA were always considered in the analysis and in addition one daily gestation FI trait was fitted. The model used for NBA and gestation daily FI was:

$$y_{ijklm} = P_i + B_j + S_k + \beta_1 BW + \beta_2 BF + \beta_3 \Delta BF + \beta_4 Age + a_l + p_l + e_{ijklm}$$

where Y_{ijklm} denotes the value of the trait during the reproductive cycle i^{th} of animal l^{th} , in batch j^{th} and season k^{th} . The fixed effects were: reproductive cycle (P_i , 5 levels: 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th-6th and > 6th); batch (B_j , 25 levels); season (S_k , 3 levels) and partial regressions on BW, age, BF and ΔBF (β_1 , β_2 , β_3 and β_4 , respectively). The random part of the model includes the additive genetic and permanent environmental effects of the sow l (a_l , p_l). The term e_{ijklm} is

56 the residual of the model. The model for lactation daily FI was the same as that previously
57 described but in addition it included the effect of the lactation length. Within trait random
58 effects were assumed to be independent, but the same random effects were correlated
59 between traits. The prior distribution of the additive genetic values and permanent effects
60 were $\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{G}\sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G})$ and $\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{P}\sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{P})$ where \mathbf{A} is the matrix of coefficients of
61 relatedness between individuals, \otimes denotes the Kronecker product, \mathbf{G} is the 3x3 additive
62 genetic covariance matrix, \mathbf{P} is the corresponding 3x3 covariance matrix and \mathbf{I} is the
63 appropriate identity matrix. For all analyses, statistics of the marginal posterior distributions
64 of all unknown parameters were obtained using the Gibbs Sampling algorithm. The software
65 used for Gibbs Sampling was gibbs2f90 (Misztal et al., 2002). Chains of 200,000 samples
66 were run and the first 20,000 iterations were discarded, one out 100 iterations was retained.

67 68 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

69 Descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1. During gestation period the variability of FI
70 was high during early gestation, decreased to nearly null values in mid gestation, and
71 increased again in late gestation. The same trend was also observed for FI averages as it
72 was 2.29 kg/d/sow in FI₁₋₄₀, then decreased about 20% during FI₄₁₋₈₀, and reached 2.73
73 kg/d/sow in FI₈₁₋₁₀₅ period. These patterns are compatible with the feed restriction the sows
74 are subject to. The average daily lactation FI was 5.87 kg/d/sow. Heritability estimates for all
75 traits are presented in Table 2. Heritability estimates for daily FI during gestation are nearly
76 null. Lactation daily FI was also low but slight higher (0.117). Low lactation FI heritability
77 (0.14) was also reported in pigs (Bergsma et al., 2008). Hermesch (2007) reported similar h^2
78 estimates, and they also observed an increasing trend with the lactation time. Moderate
79 heritability (0.21) was estimated for NBA, similar h^2 (0.15) was reported by Abell *et al.*
80 (2012). Low positive genetic correlation (0.09) was found between NBA and daily lactation FI
81 (not reported in tables). Hermesch *et al.* (2008) reported positive genetic correlation between
82 daily lactation FI and NBA. Genetic, permanent and residual correlations between gestation
83 daily FI traits are presented in Table 3. High positive genetic correlations were observed
84 between gestation daily FI traits and NBA, particularly when considering FI at early
85 gestation, or the whole gestation period. Positive genetic correlations were obtained
86 between FI_{lac} and daily middle (FI₄₁₋₈₀) or late (FI₈₁₋₁₀₅) gestation FI, this correlation with early
87 gestation daily FI was null. When the whole gestation was considered (FI₁₋₁₀₅), the
88 correlation with FI_{lac} cannot be discarded to actually be positive, although the posterior mean
89 is negative. Weldon *et al.* (1994) reported a negative phenotypic relationship between daily
90 FI during gestation and lactation. Permanent correlations had large errors and the only ones
91 that can be said to be different from zero are FI_{lac} -FI₁₋₄₀ and FI_{lac} -FI₁₋₁₀₅, in both cases
92 negative. In spite of these results it has to be noted that given the low variability and
93 heritability of gestation daily FI traits the aforementioned estimates of genetic correlations do
94 not have much relevance. In fact our major conclusion is that gestation FI data are of limited
95 interest to genetically modify efficiency of the sows, being much more promising to consider
96 lactation FI data as well as backfat thickness and body weight evolution.

97 98 **BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES**

- 99 ●Abell, C. et al., 2012. *Livest Sci*, 145,183-188. ● Bergsma, R. et al., 2008. *J. Anim Sci*, 86,
100 1067-1080. ● Hermesch, S. 2007. *Proc. Assoc. Advmt. Anim. Breed. Genet.* 17: 61-64.
101 ●Hermesch, S. et al., 2008. *Proc the Pig Genet Workshop Notes*, p. 55-65. ●Jackson, A. S.,
102 2009. *Adv Pork Prod*, 20, 75-80. ●McPherson, R. et al., 2004. *J Anim Sci*, 82, 2534-2540.
103 ●Misztal, I. et al., 2002. *Proc. the 7th world congress on genetics applied to livestock*
104 *production*. Vol. 28. No. 07. Montpellier, Communication No. 28–27. ●Solà-Oriol, D. & J.
105 Gasa, 2016: *Anim Feed Sci Tech*. ●Tibau, J. et al., 1999. ITEA. ●Weldon, W. et al., 1994. *J.*
106 *Anim Sci*, 72, 387-394. ●Yoder, C. et al., 2014. *J. Anim Breed Genet*, 131, 294-304.

107
108 **THANKS:** CAP-IRTA and Serramagra-Selección Batallé personnel. Funded by
109 Feed-a-gene (H2020) y RTA2014-00015-C2-01.

111 **Table 1.** Mean, SD, minimum, maximum and number of records (N) for traits and covariates
 112

Trait/Covari	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum	N
FI ₁₋₄₀	2.29	0.24	1.62	2.91	1094
FI ₄₁₋₈₀	1.84	0.04	1.6	1.94	1062
FI ₈₁₋₁₀₅	2.73	0.11	1.81	3	1062
FI ₄₁₋₁₀₅	2.18	0.06	1.69	2.35	1062
FI ₁₋₁₀₅	2.23	0.12	1.77	2.51	1097
FI _{lac}	5.87	0.52	3.55	7.21	948
NBA	11.34	3.06	1	19	1092
BW	222.3	23.7	148.5	358.5	1081
Age	652	254	251	1433	1097
BF	16.36	3.71	7	31	1098
ΔBF	3.07	2.92	-12	18	992

113 **Table 2.** Posterior means (SD) of genetic parameters for the different traits
 114
 115

Trait	h^2 (SD)	P^2 (SD)	Residual (SD)
FI ₁₋₄₀	0.025 (0.019)	0.024 (0.022)	0.0269 (0.0001)
FI ₄₁₋₈₀	0.054 (0.030)	0.031 (0.033)	0.0004 (0.00002)
FI ₈₁₋₁₀₅	0.069 (0.036)	0.064 (0.053)	0.0051 (0.0004)
FI ₄₁₋₁₀₅	0.061 (0.032)	0.056 (0.056)	0.0015 (0.0001)
FI ₁₋₁₀₅	0.040 (0.024)	0.040 (0.025)	0.0045 (0.0002)
FI _{lac}	0.117 (0.046)	0.196 (0.058)	0.1091 (0.0083)
NBA	0.211 (0.050)	0.093 (0.042)	6.1608 (0.3949)

116 **Table 3.** Posterior means (SD) of genetic, permanent and residual correlations
 117
 118

	Genetic		Permanent		Residual	
	FI _{lac}	NBA	FI _{lac}	NBA	FI _{lac}	NBA
FI ₁₋₄₀	0.14(0.27)	0.99(0.01)*	-0.78(0.27)*	0.37(0.49)	-0.03(0.05)	-0.02(0.04)
FI ₄₁₋₈₀	0.63(0.31)*	0.64(0.31)*	-0.26(0.59)	0.11(0.61)	-0.02(0.06)	-0.04(0.05)
FI ₈₁₋₁₀₅	0.82(0.25)*	0.45(0.30)	-0.31(0.51)	0.39(0.58)	-0.01(0.06)	-0.06(0.05)
FI ₄₁₋₁₀₅	0.81(0.27)*	0.45(0.31)	-0.10(0.42)	0.50(0.63)	-0.02(0.06)	-0.06(0.05)
FI ₁₋₁₀₅	-0.35(0.54)	0.68(0.26)*	-0.74(0.31)*	0.27(0.53)	0.04(0.05)	0.01(0.04)

119 * Probability of being greater than 0 > 0.95 or < 0.05.

120 121 GENETIC PARAMETERS OF FEED INTAKE PATTERNS OF DUROC SOWS DURING 122 GESTATION AND LACTATION

123
124 **ABSTRACT:** This study aimed at elucidating the genetic parameters of feed intake traits of
 125 663 Duroc sows during 2 gestation and lactation periods and their relationship with number
 126 of piglets born alive (NBA), using tri-variate analysis. FI was predicted for lactation period
 127 (FI_{lac}), early gestation (FI₁₋₄₀), and late gestation (FI₄₁₋₁₀₅) which thereafter was separated to
 128 FI₄₁₋₈₀ and FI₈₁₋₁₀₅. High variability was noticed for FI₁₋₄₀ and FI₈₁₋₁₀₅, very low variability was
 129 observed for FI₄₁₋₈₀. Heritability estimates were generally low and ranged from 0.025 to 0.069
 130 for daily FI during gestation. For daily lactation FI it was 0.117 and 0.211 for NBA. Positive
 131 genetic correlations were obtained between FI during middle-late gestation and FI_{lac}. Positive
 132 genetic correlations were obtained between early-middle gestation FI (0.99-0.64) traits and
 133 NBA. Nearly null genetic correlation between NBA and FI_{lac} was obtained (0.09).

134
135 **Keywords:** gestation, lactation, sow feed intake, heritability, correlation.